



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,069	07/14/2006	Torsten Almen	Q90475	6111
23373	7590	03/03/2011		
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			EXAMINER	SCOTT, ANGELA C
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		1767
NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
03/03/2011	ELECTRONIC			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com
PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM
USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

Response to Amendment

The amendments made to claim 54 overcome the rejections of record under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs and have been entered. However, claims 1, 6, 16, 17, 43, 45-47, 49, 53 and 54 are rejected under either 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated or 103(a) as obvious over Lidgren (US 6,586,009), claims 4, 9-11, 42, 50 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lidgren (US 6,586,009), claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lidgren (US 6,586,009) and Posey-Dowty et al. (US 5,258,420), claims 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lidgren (US 6,586,009) and Wenz (DE 20218668), and claims 14 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lidgren (US 6,586,009) and Nies et al. (US 5,650,108) as previously described in the Final Office Action mailed on October 12, 2010.

Response to Arguments

All of the arguments presented pertain to the Rule 1.132 Declaration submitted on February 11, 2011. However, this declaration is not entered at this time because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the declaration is necessary and was not earlier presented. The present arguments cannot be addressed at this time because the new evidence would require further consideration.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela C. Scott whose telephone number is (571) 270-3303. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 6:00 am to 11:00 am and 3:00 pm to 6:00 pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Eashoo can be reached on (571) 272-1197. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Art Unit: 1767

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/A. C. S./
Examiner, Art Unit 1767
February 22, 2011

/Mark Eashoo/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1767